1.4.2 Feedback process of the Institution may be classified as follows: Options:

 Q_nM

- A. Feedback collected, analyzed and action taken and feedback available on website
- B. Feedback collected, analyzed and action has been taken
- C. Feedback collected and analyzed
- D. Feedback collected
- E. Feedback not collected

Documents:


Upload Stakeholders feedback report, Action taken report of the institute on it as stated in the minutes of the Governing Council, Syndicate, Board of Management File Description


- Upload any additional information
- URL for feedback report

(Note: Data template is not applicable to this metric)

Co - Ordinator, IQAC Shri Havagiswami Mahavidyalaya Udgir Dist. Latur Principal
Shri Havagiswami Mahavidyalaya
Udgir Dist.Latur


Your Branch/ Faculty Study 170 Responses






Your Class & Year 170 Responses

B.AF.Y.	8.00%
B.AS.Y.	5.00%
B.AT.Y.	5%
B.Com.F.Y.	8.00%
B.Com.S.Y.	30.00%
B.Com.T.Y.	15%
B.Sc.F.Y.	10%
B.Sc.S.Y.	9%
B.Sc.T.Y.	10%




Students Satisfaction Survey

A) You are giving this responses to Students Satisfaction Survey for the Firts time 170 Responses




Shri Havagiswami Mahavidyalaya Udgir 170 Responses



SHC	100%
-----	------


D. Gender




Male 40.80% Female 59.20%


E. What degree Programme

Bachelars	63%
Masters	12.20%
M.Phil	2%
Doctorate	5%
Other	17.80%




F. What subject area/ discipline currently pursuing 170 Responses






1) How much of the syllabus was covered in the class 170 Responses

85 -100	61.20%
70 -84	22.60%
55-69	9.40%
30 -54	5.30%
below 30	1.50%



2) How well did the teachers prepare for the classes 170 Responeses

4 Throghly	53%
3 satisacatorly	39%
2. Poorly	6%
1. Indifferently	2%
Wonts teach at a	0%




3) How well were the teacher able to communicate 170 Responeses

4 Always Effective	74.20%
3. some times effe	10.80%
2. Just Satisfacto	9.10%
1. Generally in e	3.20%
0 very poor com	2.70%

9% ³	9,8%
	74%
■ 4 Always Effective	■ 3. some times effective
■ 2. Just Satisfactorily	■ 1. Generally in effective
■ 0 very poor communicat	ion

4) The Teachers approach to teaching can best be described as 170 Responses



6.20% 1%

■ 4 Excellent ■ 3. Very Good ■ 2. Good ■ 1. Fair ■ 0 Poor


2.90%

0 Unfair


4 Excellent 50% 3. Very Good 28.40% 2. Good 14.40% 1. Fair 6.20% 0 Poor 1%

5) Fainrness of the Internal Evaluation Process by teachers 170 Responses

■ 1 Usually unfair




6) What was your performance in assig. descussed with you 170 Responses




7) The Institute takes active interest in promoting internship. Students exchange Field visit opportunities for stuents 170 Responses

4. Regularly	67.10%
3. Often	22.00%
2. sometimes	11.50%
1 Rarely	3.00%
0 Nevr	2.00%




8) The teaching & mantoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive social & emotional growth 170 Responses

4. Significantly	45.20%
3 Very well	34.70%
2 Moderately	10.40%
1. Marginally]	8.20%
0 Not at all	1.70%





9) The Institution provides multiple opportunities to learn & grow 170 Responses

À	4. Strongly Agree	32.00%
	3 Agree	52.50%
	2 Neutral	11.80%
	1 disagree	2.00%
	O Strongly disagr	1.70%




10) Teachers informe you about your expected competencies Course Outcomes & Programme outcomes 170 Responses







11) Your mentor does a necessary fallow up with an assigmened task to you 170 Responses

,	4. Everytime	72.30%
	3. Usually	16.10%
	2. Ocasionally/ Sometimes	6.20%
	1 Rarely	4.20%
	0 I don't have m	1.20%





12) The teachers illustrate the concepts through Examples & applications 170






13) The teachers identfy your strenghts & incarage you with providing right level of challenges 170 Responses






14. Overall Quality of Teaching



4 Excellent	38.40%
3 Very Good	42%
2 Good	18%
1 Fair	4.00%
0 Poor	1.20%


1. Student Feedback 2022-23




4 Excellent	38.40%
3 Good	42%
2 Nutral	18%
1 Satisfactory	4.00%
0 unSatisfactor	1.20%


2 Efforts of the College for Students Holistic Development

7.20%
12%
20%
30.50%
28.88%




3. Library Facility







4. Internet Facility







5. Sport Facility







6. Extra Curricular Activities







7. Disipline Maintained in the College







8. Efforts of the College to inculcate softskill life skill & employability skills among the students






9. Placement support






10. Overall Quality of the Institution

4 Excellent	70.10%
3 Good	16.20%
2 Nutral	8.5%
1 Satisfactory	3.5%
0 unSatisfactor	1.7%




Teachers Feedback

Teachers ability to Communicate




4. Excellent	74.20%
3. Good	10.80%
2. Neutral	9.10%
1 Satisfactory	3.50%
0 Unsatisfactory	2.40%

Teachers aproch to teaching




4. Excellent	50.00%
3. Good	28.40%
2. Neutral	14.40%
1 Satisfactory	6.20%
0 Unsatisfactory	1.00%

Teachers to illustrat the concept throgh exampls & applications



4. Excellent	68.00%
3. Good	25.20%
2. Neutral	4.40%
1 Satisfactory	5.20%
0 Unsatisfactory	1.20%

Teachers use students entric Methods



4. Excellent	55.10%
3. Good	30.70%
2. Neutral	4.20%
1 Satisfactory	7.20%
0 Unsatisfactory	2.80%